[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/9370 /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

-5 JUL 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787 OF 2015. (Sub :- Appointment)

1 Shri. Amar B. Kanojiya, Add. 96, Quarter B-4, R-4, SRP Police Camp, Goregaon (E), Mumbai-65.

......APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

1 . The Commandant, S.R.P.F., Unit No. 8, SRP Police Camp, Goregaon (E), Mumbai-65.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **04th** day of **July**, **2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE :	Shri C.T. Chandratre, Advocate for the Applicant.
	Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN. HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **04.07.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.

trasal 517 5.30

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai. E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER-2016\July-16\05.07.2016\0.A. No. 787 of 15-04.07.16.odt

O.A.787/15

Shri A.B. Kanojia ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

This Original Analisation (21)

This Original Application (OA) can now be disposed of on a short but significant point.

We have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The OA was originally brought in effect for issuance of an order of appointment and in that light, the necessary averments were made.

Pending OA, as informed by Shri Chandratre, the learned Advocate by an order dated 5th March, 2016, the Applicant has been appointed as Police Constable in State Reserve Police Force. A copy of the order above referred to is retained on record. The OA has really worked itself our. However, Shri Chandratre submits that there was no justification for the delay in issuing the appointment order and this delay may as well have caused prejudice to the Applicant for which liberty must be reserved. for him to seek an appropriate deemed date of appointment. Subject to this liberty, the OA has worked itself out and it is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to move the authorities concerned for seeking deemed date and needless to say, if he remains aggrieved thereby his right to take recourse to the legal remedy by way of an OA is intact.

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 04.07.2016

otie

adot

d

Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 04.07.2016

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar/Re